


IN THE JUDICIAL APPEALS TRIBUNAL OF THE CHEROKEE NATION

Robin Mayes,)
)
Petitioner,)
)
vs.)
)
CHADWICK SMITH, et al,)
)
Respondents,)
)
and,)
)
BRAD CARSON,)
)
Respondent.)

Case No. JAT-02-10



ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS

NOW on this 21st day of January, 2003, the Court having received the briefs of the respective parties upon the issue of whether Respondent Carson enjoys legislative immunity from suit in this matter, the Court answers in the affirmative. The Petitioner appeared in person and *pro se*. The Respondent Brad Carson, who currently serves as a member of the United States House of Representatives from the State of Oklahoma, appeared specially by Greg Combs, Attorney at Law, P.O. Box 2200, Tahlequah, Oklahoma 74465.


The Petitioner has brought this action against the Respondent Carson, as a Cherokee Citizen, challenging his participation and support of HR-3534 in the United States Congress. The legislation relates to the settlement of claims of Indian-owned lands in the Arkansas River bed. The Respondent appeared specially to question the jurisdiction of this Court based upon asserted

immunity under the "Speech and Debate Clause" of the United States Constitution.¹

NOW, on this 21ST day of January, 2003, the Court, having reviewed the files and record made in the case, and having considered the written Briefs, FINDS: That the Petitioner has set out an action by his pleadings against the Respondent Brad Carson which brings into effect the "Speech and Debate Clause" of the United States Constitution;² That the phrase "any other place" as contained in said Clause includes the Courts of the Cherokee Nation. Accordingly, Congressman Carson enjoys immunity from suit and cannot be compelled to appear and answer in these proceedings. For these reasons, this Court lacks jurisdiction over the person of the Respondent and his Motion to Dismiss must be granted.

IT IS THEREFOR ORDERED, that the Motion to Dismiss of the Respondent Brad

Carson is hereby GRANTED.



Darrell Dowty, Chief Justice

Darell R. Matlock, Jr., Justice

Stacy Leeds, Justice

¹Article I, Section 6, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution:

"... for any Speech or Debate in either House, they [Members of Congress] shall not be questioned in any other place."


²Doe v. McMillan, 412 U.S. 306, 36 L.Ed 2d 912, 93 S. Ct. 2018 (1973)

immunity under the "Speech and Debate Clause" of the United States Constitution.¹

NOW, on this 21st day of January, 2003, the Court, having reviewed the files and record made in the case, and having considered the written Briefs, FINDS: That the Petitioner has set out an action by his pleadings against the Respondent Brad Carson which brings into effect the "Speech and Debate Clause" of the United States Constitution;² That the phrase "any other place" as contained in said Clause includes the Courts of the Cherokee Nation. Accordingly, Congressman Carson enjoys immunity from suit and cannot be compelled to appear and answer in these proceedings. For these reasons, this Court lacks jurisdiction over the person of the Respondent and his Motion to Dismiss must be granted.

IT IS THEREFOR ORDERED, that the Motion to Dismiss of the Respondent Brad Carson is hereby GRANTED.

Darrell Dowty, Chief Justice


Darrell R. Matlock, Jr., Justice

Stacy Leeds, Justice

¹Article I, Section 6, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution.
"... for any Speech or Debate in either House, they [Members of Congress] shall not be questioned in any other place."


²Doe v. McMillan, 412 U.S. 306, 36 L.Ed 2d 912, 93 S. Ct. 2018 (1973)


immunity under the "Speech and Debate Clause" of the United States Constitution.¹


NOW, on this 21ST day of January, 2003, the Court, having reviewed the files and record made in the case, and having considered the written Briefs, FINDS: That the Petitioner has set out an action by his pleadings against the Respondent Brad Carson which brings into effect the "Speech and Debate Clause" of the United States Constitution;² That the phrase "any other place" as contained in said Clause includes the Courts of the Cherokee Nation. Accordingly, Congressman Carson enjoys immunity from suit and cannot be compelled to appear and answer in these proceedings. For these reasons, this Court lacks jurisdiction over the person of the Respondent and his Motion to Dismiss must be granted.

IT IS THEREFOR ORDERED, that the Motion to Dismiss of the Respondent Brad

Carson is hereby GRANTED.


Darrell Dowty, Chief Justice


Darrell R. Matlock, Jr., Justice


Stacy Leeds, Justice

¹Article I, Section 6, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution:

"...for any Speech or Debate in either House, they [Members of Congress] shall not be questioned in any other place."

²Doe v. McMillan, 412 U.S. 306, 36 L.Ed.2d 912, 93 S. Ct. 2018 (1973)